

Approved

Valley Center Community Planning Group

Minutes for the Regular Meeting May 11, 2009

Chairman: Oliver Smith Vice Chairman: Ann Quinley Secretary: Christine Lewis

7:00 pm at the Valley Center Community Hall; 28246 Lilac Road, Valley Center CA 92082

A=Absent Ab=Abstain A/=Agenda Item BOS=Board of Supervisors DPLU=Department of Planning and Land Use IAW=In Accordance With N=Nay P=Present SC=Subcommittee TBD=To Be Determined VCCPG=Valley Center Community Planning Group Y=Yea

Forwarded to Members for Review: May 21, 2009

Approved: June 11, 2009

1. Call to Order and Roll Call by Seat #:											07:07 PM			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
ANDERSON	SIMPSON	HOFER	VACANT	BRITTSCH	ROBERTSON	QUINLEY	MONTROSS	LEWIS	HERIGSTAD	SMITH	VACANT	RUDDOLF	VANKOUGHNETT	BACHMAN
P	A	P	-	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	-	P	P	P

Appendices to these Minutes: Attachment to item 4-F - Valley Center Interim Community Plan

Total Number of Pages Comprising this Report: 8 pages

Notes:

Quorum Established: 12

Yes (X) No ()

2. Pledge of Allegiance – Dr. Debra Hofler

3. Open Forum:

a) No Requests to Speak.

4. Announcements & Items of Public Interest:

4a) Chair's Announcements:

Oliver Smith - In a meeting last weekend of the Planning Group Steering Committee, which is composed of the Chairs of 26 planning and sponsor groups in the County, the featured topic was conservation sub-divisions.

In a report to the Board of Supervisors, DPLU Senior Planner, Devon Muto, commented that it was felt by some that the term "community character" was subjective and restrictive and that there is concern that no equity mechanisms are included in the GP Update. DPLU is on track to go before the Board of Supervisors with their GP Update by the fall of 2010. Another concern is that the issue of rainwater is not addressed in the Update and some communities rely heavily upon it for irrigation. The influence of SB 375 (Smart Growth) is not clear and it is still a discussion item.

There was a request to have a joint meeting between the Planning Group Steering Committee and the Interest Group (developers and other non-elected parties) who feel their voices are not being heard by the various local Planning Groups. A meeting would give both groups an opportunity to talk over their differences. No decision was made as to whether and when to hold this meeting but both groups have been directed to come up with a list of items they might discuss at this meeting. Planning Commissioner Brian Wood has offered to chair this meeting.

4b) Report from San Diego County Department of Public Works to discuss Valley Center Road Project and VC Trails projects (Michael Long, DPW, Project Manager)

Michael Long- As of April 21st, all lanes on Valley Center Road are open and we can now focus on ancillary items - the planting of medians and the construction and planting of the trails. The original Heritage Trail from Old Road to Miller Rd is the result of \$225,000 grant acquired in 2005-06. This section should be completed in August. The extension of the trail from Miller to Cole Grade and back to Woods Valley road was funded by an additional grant in 2007-08. We made a presentation made earlier today to combined meeting of the Valley Center Trails Association and the Design Review Board. Kurt Carlson and Tim Henderson of RBF Consulting are here to present details and answer questions. We are at 30% in the design stage.

Tim Henderson – Plants used in the median will be consistent with those planted on the trails. All plants will be native to VC to help preserve the character of the countryside (agave, sages, etc). Trees will be planted in the median when the width of the trail is a minimum of 5 feet wide. Tree root barriers will be installed as well. Trees will be planted in depth at the edge of the trails.

RECEIVED

JUN 10 2009

San Diego County
DEPT. OF PLANNING & LAND USE

Approved

	<p>Design Features of the trail will include wood split-fencing, stone (as exemplified in bridge over Moosa Creek) and hitching posts for horses. Trails will use decomposed granite (DG) peeled log fences and benches and historical signage. Near the Moosa Creek Bridge, a memorial to Brendan McNabb will be embedded in stone. Crossings at traffic lights will have walk buttons at a high level to accommodate riders so they can activate the lights without dismounting.</p> <p>The trail will incorporate almost 400 new trees. In the trail section through the Parks and Recs property at VC Road & Lilac Rd, there will be a staging area, picnic table and hitching post near 2 very old large oak trees that have been preserved.</p> <p>Hofler – Will the concrete median strips be decorative; for example, using colorized, stamped concrete? What is the nature of the median maintenance?</p> <p>Kurt Carlson – The County will maintain the median strips and watering of plantings for 3 years.</p> <p>Bachman – Are there any drinking fountains?</p> <p>Carlson – No, because the only water being supplied to the area is gray water.</p> <p>Rudolf – We would like to have some assurance of the maintenance in writing.</p> <p>Janice Smith, owner Inspirations Quilts – Complimented Michael Long on his handling of traffic flow problems immediately following the completion of the road, especially in front of her business on VC Rd. He handled himself professionally and politely.</p> <p>Britsch – concerned that the plants will not be able to prosper after 3 years with no water at all. Even drought tolerant plants need some water especially surrounded by concrete surfaces.</p>
c)	<p>Valley Center Fire Protection District - Presentation and possible vote on a new fast tracked fire station at White Star Lane, South of VC High School</p> <p>Note: Chairman Smith recused himself as he is a member of the Board, VC Fire Protection District</p> <p>John Byrne, District Administrator - Initially we thought we would need the PG's blessing on this project to build a third fire-station in VC, but it turns out we instead must make our "Request for Waiver of Site Plan Review" with the Design Review Board (DRB). This was granted earlier this afternoon. DPLU has already completed a basic design for proposed fast track fire stations county-wide. These designs include common structural design and components, footprints, elevations and interior layouts. They qualify to "green" standards, include photo voltaic electrical systems and backup generators</p> <p>The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 provides millions in grants for new fire stations but our project will need to be "shovel ready" within 120 days of grant approval. We are competing for local funds with the SD Fire Authority which is going after grants for 24 new fire stations.</p> <p>The positive side of the competition is that we can piggy-back on the already approved designs for a 4 bay station. We will have room for needed administration space as well.</p> <p>Robertson – Will the Design Review Board have an opportunity to comment on this project in the future? Will the building design be the same as presented?. What exterior materials will be used?</p> <p>Dan Thornton – This will be a steel building. We will use metal roof, siding and rock fascia to complement VC High School. This is very preliminary and we will keep you informed. We will not be making any design changes nor will the DRB be given the opportunity to review the project again. We will not have the opportunity to deviate from the basic architecture without jeopardizing our ability to meet grant deadlines by keeping the process streamlined.</p> <p>Rudolf – You do have some choices on site plan as to how the building will be facing and its impact on traffic flow.</p> <p>Thornton – We have an opportunity to build a third fire station in Valley Center and we have time to work on positioning.</p> <p>Hofler – Will this necessitate any changes to Cole Grade Rd? This group would appreciate it if you would come back to us when some of these details are known. We want to make sure that the fire station fits into our circulation plans which are currently being reworked.</p> <p>Thornton – We will probably be required by the County to do some widening of Cole Grade and undergrounding of the utilities.</p> <p>Rudolf – The impact of location is extremely important to this community</p> <p>P. Fritz – The plot size is only 2.34 acres and the road will need to be widened to accommodate fire trucks. The PG should be thrilled to have a new fire station and not be so concerned about the impact on Cole Grade traffic and the aesthetics.</p> <p>Quinley – We are pleased to have a new fire station and would like the VC Fire Protection District</p>

Approved

	to keep us informed as progress is made.
d)	<p>Update from the Mobility Subcommittee on Valley center Road Issues: -Deb Hofler, Chair</p> <p>Hofler – The next meeting of the Mobility/Circulation Subcommittee is on May 20th at the library. The subcommittee will be considering road improvement priorities. Several members of this subcommittee and the GPU went to a meeting of the Planning Commission to push for rural road standards, not urban, "one-size-fits-all" standards that are currently being imposed on rural communities. Other communities such as Fallbrook and Rancho Santa Fe already have rural road standards.</p> <p>Fortunately, the PC has agreed to form a subcommittee to study rural road standards. There is a workshop scheduled for probably June 5th. The Planning Commission Subcommittee consists of 5 out of the 7 members of the Commission and will be chaired by Leon Brooks.</p> <p>To give an example of some of the inconsistencies in standards, the smallest road DPW has in its available standards is 40 ft. wide. Cole Grade Road where it is 2 lanes wide at the bridge between Cool Valley Rd and Hilldale Rd is currently 26 ft. wide. We need more choices. We need to explore options such as colorized cross-walks and design road speeds for Valley Center.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>Motion – The VCCPG authorize Chairman Smith to request that the Planning Commission direct DPW to continue to work with the unincorporated communities to develop context sensitive rural Road standards and that the Planning Commission not to take action at the June meeting.</p> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 5px;"> <p>Maker/Second – Hofler/Montross – VOICE VOTE (Y-N-A) 12-0-0 Motion Carries</p> </div>
4e)	<p>Discussion and possible recommendation of Susan Moore for a second term on the Design Review Board (Quinley)</p> <p>Ann Quinley – Susan Moore has served a complete term of 4 years on the Design Review Board and the Design Board has recommended that she be appointed to a second 4 year term. She has extensive qualifications in landscaping and is a Certified Arborist.</p> <p>Herigstad – Do we need to announce the vacancy on the DRB to the general public?</p> <p>Rudolf – The clerk of the Board of Supervisors would have already posted this vacancy as a function of his duties. The VCCPG can nominate 2 members to sit on the DRB and the Chamber can nominate 2 members. The 5th District Supervisor (Horn) nominates the 5th board member.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>Motion: The VCCPG recommends the appointment of Susan Moore to serve a 4 year term on the Design Review Board</p> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 5px;"> <p>Maker: Quinley Second: Van Koughnett Voice Vote: (Y- N – A) 12-0-0</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Motion Carries</p> </div>
4f)	<p>Review and possible vote on the Interim Valley Center Community Plan (Rich Rudolf, Chairman, GPU Subcommittee)</p> <p>Note: Hans Britsch has recused himself due to the proximity of his property to the Rancho Lilac project.</p> <p>Rudolf- In the process of updating the existing Community Plan, we have compared it with the County's General Plan. (<u>see Attachment 4f) for a complete copy of the Interim Community Plan</u>)</p> <p>One area of revision concerns the Specific Plan Areas which were once designated as a "white hole" on old maps, such as; Specific Plans for Circle R, Champagne Blvd (although no specific plan has ever been submitted for it). Rancho Lilac was omitted and the reason for that omission is unclear. The Rancho Lilac project has been on the books for over 20 years</p> <p>Louis Wolfsheimer, Attorney – We have been actively pursuing Rancho Lilac as an SPA and spent some 8 million dollars in developing it over the years. We have worked with the Planning Group and the community to develop a project the community will accept.</p> <p>Dale Meredith, Sage Community Group – We have worked with Rancho Lilac project and have produced a planning document that has very specific guidelines</p>

	Motion:	
	"That the VCCPG approve the Interim Community Plan as presented herein with the addition of the SPA Rancho Lilac."	
	Maker/Second Rudolf/Montross	VOICE VOTE (Y-N-A) 11-0-1
	Note: Hans Britsch abstained	Motion Carries
4g)	Vegetation Management Report by Chairman Oliver Smith - deferred to June meeting	
6.	Approval of Minutes:	
	Notes: No action taken on this Item due to time constraints	
7.	Land Use & Project Review:	
7a)	TPM 21004-Log No. 06 02-007 McNally Road Subdivision (Hans Britsch) A minor residential subdivision of 57.8 acres into 4 residential parcels plus a designated remainder parcel, ranging in size from 4.0 net acres to 6.11 acres. One McNally near the intersection of Oak Glen Rd. Owner, Fallbrook Development Co.	
	Britsch -- A Tentative Parcel Map has received preliminary approval by the DPLU on April 15 th . Owner has 36 months to complete conditions outlined by the County, none of which is extraordinary before TPM expires. This correspondence is informational and no decision is required by the VCCPG at this time.	

7b)	ZAP 09-001, ER09-08-002 Cricket Communications Wireless Network (Anne Quinley) Facility will be located at 29277 Valley Center Rd near the intersection of Valley Center Rd. and Vesper Rd. Property owner is the Gertrude Jensen Trust.	
	Note: As a neighbor, Dave Anderson recused himself from this discussion	
	<p>Ann Quinley – substituting for VCPG member Susan Simpson assigned to this project. The area is appropriately zoned commercial. The DRB has approved the site location and mono-tree plans providing the offered chain-link fence enclosure be changed to a wooden enclosure. The main issue is the height of the wireless tower. New County maximum height for a minor use permit is 35 ft and Cricket will need 50ft. to increase its output of MGz.</p> <p>Franklin Orazco, - Sorrento Valley, proponent Cricket will install a faux pine mono-tree 50 ft. high. It has complied with DRB request to build a wooden fence enclosure around equipment. It seems that Cricket must now request a Major Use Permit from the County to build a wireless tower at this height.</p> <p>Quinley – According to the diagram submitted, the wood fence enclosure needs to be a little higher to fully conceal the equipment at the base of the tower and the PG would expect that to be done as a condition of approval.</p>	
	<p>Motion:</p> <p>"That the VCCPG approve that the Cricket Wireless Project go forward with the conditions as set forth by the County and that the tower not exceed 35 ft in height and that the level of the fencing be higher to obscure the facility's equipment from sight."</p>	
	Maker/Second Quinley/Robertson	Voice Vote: (Y-N-A) 11-0-1
	Note: Dave Anderson Abstained	Motion Carries

7c)

ZAP 00-045-01 Verizon Wireless Emergency Generator (Hans Britsch), 13115 Via Suena on property of Phillip and Cathy Bell. Proponent: Verizon Wireless.
No action required

7d) TPM 20966 Log. No. 05-03-004; Lagus Minor Subdivision (three parcels) (Dave Anderson)

Anderson – This project has already been approved by the VCCPG; however, Mr. Gary Wynn is her to answer any questions. No action required.

7e) PAA 08-009. Threshold Decision on Plan Amendment Authorization (Rich Rudolf) Tavarez; change to General Plan Designation from (18) Multiple Rural Use (one dwelling unit per 4,8,20 gross acres to (11) Office Professional.

Discussion & Comment:

Note: Hans Britsch has recused himself from this discussion since he knows Jim Simmons with Consultants Collaborative.

Rich Rudolf: The key issue here is "spot zoning" of commercial use in the midst of rural and agricultural uses.

Location: The Project site is east of I-15 and Old Highway 395, about half way between Camino Del Rey and Nelson Way. A 2,400 square foot building permitted as an agricultural building connects to Old 395 via an asphalt driveway. It is currently being illegally used as a professional office for a general contractor business.

Application History: This item was heard by the Planning Group on 10/20/08 and given "conceptual approval" by a 10-2 vote (Coloumbe and Washburn No, as being illegal "spot zoning"). It was heard again on 2/9/09 as a PAA for General Plan redesignation as Office Professional, and a lot split and rezone to C-30, and approved 12-0 (because previously approved). The Planning Group shortly thereafter received correspondence notifying it that the County DPLU Director was recommending DENIAL of the application because it was inconsistent with all four pending GPU Maps, and would result in illegal spot zoning for a commercial use island surrounded by rural, agricultural uses. The GPU proposes the property and those surrounding it be designated RL20. DPLU now advises that they continue to recommend denial, but the Project will be before the Planning Commission on May 22, and the VCCPG may revisit and make a new recommendation if it wishes to do so on May 11.

What is a PAA (Plan Amendment Authorization)?

On April 30, 2003, the Board of Supervisors amended Policy I-63 and specified that any amendment to the County General Plan must be initiated by the Planning Director, the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors. All private requests must be filed initially for review by the Planning Director.

Review Criteria: When reviewing the request, the Director will be evaluating the proposal for:

- Consistency with existing and planned land uses in the vicinity and in the community, including the goals and policies of the Community or Subregional Plan;
- Availability of adequate infrastructure commensurate with the project needs;
- Comments from the Planning or Sponsor Group; and
- Known site constraint factors such as, but not limited to, steep slopes, wetlands, or MSCP Biological Resource Core Areas that would make the request inconsistent with environmental conservation goals and programs.

Upon receipt of a complete application, the Director shall immediately notify and solicit comments from the appropriate Planning or Sponsor Group. The Planning or Sponsor Group will have 35 days from submittal of the application to submit comments. Comments shall be faxed or sent via electronic mail if the Group's meeting is held close to the end of the 35-day comment period.

Decision - The Director shall make a decision whether to authorize the initiation of the amendment within 45 days of the submittal of the request accompanied by all required information. Should the Director decline to initiate the proposed General Plan amendment, the applicant may present the

Approved

request for initiation by letter, first to the Planning Commission, and then to the Board of Supervisors, if the Planning Commission declines to authorize the amendment.

2. Analysis: This Project proposes a General Plan Land Use Designation change from (18) Multiple Rural Use (one DU/ 4, 8, 20 gross acres) to (11) Office Professional; and a concurrent Rezone from A70 (Limited Agriculture) to C30 (Office-Professional); and would require a lot split (tentative parcel map) to remove 4.15 acres from the existing 33.72 acre parcel for commercial use.

The proposal would not be consistent with the existing and GPU planned uses on the site, and the surrounding vicinity. All the GPU Maps propose RL20 for the site and surrounding vicinity. The larger parcel is undeveloped and contains steep slopes. There is no evidence of agricultural flower production or sales on site. The adjacent parcels are large agricultural uses (a tree farm and Las Pilatas nursery, and an apparently abandoned residential rental unit). The applicant has been cited for an outstanding Code Violation. PAAs should not be granted to abate a zoning violation.

The current Community Plan provides: "...COMMERCIAL GOAL: PROVIDE FOR WELL PLANNED AND DESIGNED, SMALL SCALE, CENTRALIZED, AND CONTAINED COMMERCIAL AREAS THAT ARE COMPATIBLE WITH A LOW DENSITY RURAL RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY...POLICIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS"

1. Prohibit strip commercial by containing commercial uses in the Cole Grade Road and Valley Center Road area and the Woods Valley road and valley Center area....6. Commercial/civic uses shall not interfere either functionally or visually with adjacent land uses or the rural atmosphere of the community....8. Discourage commercial and civic uses outside of the Country Towns and limit all such use to those that are clearly demonstrated as needed and which are compatible with the rural lifestyle of the Valley Center Community Plan..." (Community Plan, pages 9-10).

If allowed to proceed and approved, this project would result in an isolated commercial use similar to that currently present in the Country Towns, outside the Country Towns, directly in violation of the major commercial goal for the Commercial portion of the Community Plan. There can be no demonstration of a "clear need" for a general contractor's office outside the Country Towns. It would interfere functionally with the rural agricultural uses and steep slopes surrounding it.

Rudolf concludes that the Valley Center Planning Group recommend that the County DENY the request for a Plan Amendment Authorization so the project does not go forward.

Mike Stain, 30665 Old Hwy. 395, property owner – When he initially applied for a permit to enhance and expand the office building the county planning department advised him to apply for a Major Use Permit which he did and paid all the expenses. Later he was advised that a MUP was not the proper procedure and he should have applied for re-zoning and a lot split.

Jim Simmons, representing Tavarez – The County is currently distracted by the writing of a new General Plan. Their request has fallen in between the old and new General Plans. He was told initially that he didn't need to put in for a zoning variance and by the time he found out that he did need to he had missed the opportunity to be placed into the new General Plan.

Robertson – is familiar with the area and the owner should be commended for improving the building and the property.

Hoffler – It has been a business location for 25 years and it doesn't make sense to reject the application.

Herigstad – everything around this property is commercial

P. Fritz – The County and Rich have got it right. You cannot have a commercial use zoning in the middle of land zoned for agricultural.

Motion:

The VCCPG recommend the application for PAA 008-09 be denied by the County.

Maker: Rudolf

Second: No second to Motion

Motion Fails without a Second

Counter Motion Proposed:

Motion:
"The VCCPG recommends that the application PAA 008-09 be approved by the County"
Maker: Terry Van Koughnett Second: Deb Hoffer
Voice Vote: (Y-N-A) Y-8, N-1, A-3 Counter Motion Carries
Note: Nay - Rudolf Abstain - Montross, Quinley, Britsch

7f) TM 5478, Log No. 06-08-017 (Brian Bachman) Rabbit Run. Notification of intent to adopt a negative declaration in accordance with CEQA. Project is major subdivision of 17.70 acres into 7 lots ranging from 2.3 to 4.02 gross acres. Project is located at intersection of Fruitvale Road and Duffwood Lane. Construction activities will include grading and clearing for 7 housing pads with driveways from Duffwood Lane. Water comes from Municipal Water District; each lot is served by an individual septic system. Bachman - VCCPG approved the project in August 2007 with a contingency to relocate a driveway to prevent headlights from shining into adjacent property owners' residence. The driveway has not been relocated.

Paul Rowe, Owner/applicant - The driveway would be expensive to relocate and the County has approved the lot split without that condition.

Bachman - This is an update that the County has issued a notice of Intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration. Public comment period has already closed on April 10, 2009. No action required.

7g) TPM 21002, Log No. ER 06-02-006 (Paul Hergistad) 29610 MacTan Road. Tan Minor Subdivision; divide 5.02 gross acre lot into 2 residential parcels of 2.45 and 2.04 acres. County Intent to adopt a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration.

Hergistad - No comment required by VCCPG because deadline for responses (May 4) has passed.

8. Announcements and Items of Interest to the VCCPG - no discussion

9. Subcommittee Updates and Announcements (as necessary)

- a. Circulation - (Debra Hoffer, Chair). - see item 4d)
- b. GP Update -- (Richard Rudolf, Chair).
- c. Nominations - (Susan Simpson, Chair)
- d. Northern Village - (Keith Robertson, Chair) - Jerry Gaughan has initiated the permit process on his portion of the North Village Village. There is a lot of work to come on this project filed as Miller Plaza
- e. Orchard Run - (Debra Hoffer, Chair).
- f. Parks & Rec. - (David Montross, Chair)
- g. Rancho Lilac - (Ann Quinley, Chair)
- h. Southern Village - (Terry Van Koughnett, Chair) - The Subcommittee will meet at 6PM on Tuesday, May 19th at the offices of Wynn Engineering, 27315 Valley Center Rd.
- i. Segal Ranch (formerly Spanish Trails)-(Oliver Smith, Chair)
- j. Strategic Planning-(Terry Van Koughnett, Chair)
- k. Tribal Liaison - (Terry Van Koughnett, Chair)
- l. Valley Center Church - (Terry Van Koughnett, Chair)
- m. Website - (Terry Van Koughnett, Chair)
- n. Pauma Ranch (Ann Quinley and Keith Robertson, Chairs)
- o. Castle Creek (Oliver Smith, Chair)

10. Correspondence

- a. DPLU to VCCPG, TPM 21002; ER 06-02-006; Project Name TAM TPM . Project Address: 29610 Mac Tan Rd.; APN 188-

Approved

191028 (Addendum to Tam Minor Subdivision review provided in early April 2009).

- b. DPLU to VCCPG; TPM 21106. Log No. 07-09-010; ER 07-09-010; Beers Minor Subdivision; Proposal to adopt a negative declaration. Project is a request to subdivide approximately 5.28 acre site into two parcels. Site is located at 29192 Fox Run Land, 3 miles east of Lilac Road and near Fruitvale Road in Valley Center.
- c. DPLU to VCCPG; P08-007. ER 08-02-002; T-Mobile Cell Tower SD02950A Gregory Canyon on the property of Rodney and Joyce Guild 29 33780 Double Canyon Road in Valley Center; Project Contact person: Mike Morganson (agent for T-Mobile).
- d. San Diego County Planning Commission to VCCPG. Preliminary and Final meeting agenda for the SDCPC regular meeting-April 24,2009 and preliminary and final agenda for the May 8th meeting held at 5302 Ruffin Road, at 9:00 AM
- e. San Diego County Board of Supervisor to VCCPG; Board agenda for April 21 and 22 at 9:00 AM at 1600 Pacific Highway, San Diego; agenda for May 5 and May 6th also at 9:00 AM in same location.
- f. San Diego County Traffic Advisory Committee to VCCPG, Meeting Notice for Traffic Advisory Committee at 9:00 on April 24,2009 at the Department of the Sheriff 9621 Ridgehaven Court, San Diego
- g. County of San Diego Planning Report to VCCPG; An ordinance Amending the Zoning Ordinance to streamline the regulations regarding accessory dwelling Units (POD 08-005)
- h. Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to VCCPG, Revised Board of Supervisors agenda for Tuesday, April 7 and April 21.
- i. San Diego Gas and Electric to VCCPG, Notice of Proposed construction of the T L688 and TL6932 Relocation and Underground Conversion Project in the cities of Escondido and San Marcos and the County of San Diego to be completed by September 2009. Relocation and Underground Conversion Fact Sheet also received.
- j. DPLU to VCCPG-Amendment to the San Diego Code of Regulatory Ordinance for the purpose of Landscape Water Conservation (POD 08-016)
- k. DPLU to VCCPG; General Plan Amendment (GPA 09-001; Zoning Ordinance Clean-up Amendment (POD 08-003) amends applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to farm employee housing.
- l. San Diego County Water Authority to VCCPG; report on steps taken to further the San Diego County Water Authority's mission of providing safe and reliable water including completing the Twin Oaks Valley Water Treatment Plan, conducting conservation outreach efforts and developing a long-term strategic plan.
- m. Historic Site Board of San Diego to VCCPG-Notice of hearings on April 20, 2009 at 6:30 PM at DPLU, 5201 Ruffin Road to consider three requests for properties to be granted Historic Site status.
- n. DPLU to VCCPG; Preliminary Notice of Approval for Tentative Parcel Map No. 21004. Parcel is off McNally Road, no address given, no map enclosed.
- o. DPLU to VCCPG, Discretionary Use Permit 3300-09-004, Information about the North Village Water Reclamation Facility (received via e-mail)
- p. DPLU to VCCPG, S09-101, Villalobos Site Plan for new building adjacent to Terry's Feed and Grain on Valley Center Road (received via e-mail)
- q. DPLU to VCCPG, S05-055; Orchard Run: 6yh iteration review of site plan; Site plans have been tentatively accepted, depending on input from the Valley Center Planning Group and the Valley Center Design Review Board.

11. Requests for Items on Upcoming Agendas

a.

12. Adjournment - As determined by an earlier Motion, the meeting adjourned at 10:30pm

Next Scheduled Regular Meeting: June 8, 2009

Respectfully Submitted, May 21, 2009
Christine Lewis,
Secretary